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Before solving the first problem, I will prove some lemmas that I am going to use.

Lemma 0.1. Let $(X, d),(Y, \gamma)$ be two metric spaces, and define $\rho$ on $X \times Y$ by

$$
\rho\left((x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)=\max \left\{d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right), \gamma\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

Then $\rho$ is a metric on $X \times Y$.
Proof. It is clear that $\rho$ is a non-negative function on $X \times Y$. First, observe that $d(x, x)=0=\gamma(y, y)$, and hence this means that

$$
\rho((x, y),(x, y))=0
$$

for every $(x, y) \in X \times Y$. Conversely, suppose

$$
\rho\left((x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)=0
$$

for some $(x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in X \times Y$. This means that $d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=0=\gamma\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)$, and hence $(x, y)=\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$. Next, we have
$\rho\left((x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)=\max \left\{d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right), \gamma\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right\}=\max \left\{d\left(x^{\prime}, x\right), \gamma\left(y^{\prime}, y\right)\right\}=\rho\left(\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right),(x, y)\right)$
for any $(x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in X \times Y$, showing that $\rho$ is a symmetric function.
Finally, we show the triangle inequality. Let $(x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right),\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)$ be any three points in $X \times Y$. So, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left((x, y),\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)\right) & =\max \left\{d\left(x, x^{*}\right), \gamma\left(y, y^{*}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \max \left\{d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+d\left(x^{\prime}, x^{*}\right), \gamma\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)+\gamma\left(y^{\prime}, y^{*}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \max \left\{d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right), \gamma\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right\}+\max \left\{d\left(x^{\prime}, x^{*}\right), \gamma\left(y^{\prime}, y^{*}\right)\right\} \\
& =\rho\left((x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)+\rho\left(\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right),\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that $\rho$ is a metric on $X \times Y$.
Lemma 0.2. Let $X, Y$ be metric spaces as above, and give $X \times Y$ the metric $\rho$ as above. Let $\pi: X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $\pi^{\prime}: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be usual projection maps. Then, $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ are continuous.

Proof. We will show that $\pi$ is continuous, and the same proof will show that $\pi^{\prime}$ is also continuous. Let $U \subset X$ be an open set. Then, observe that $\pi^{-1}(U)=U \times Y$, and $U \times Y$ is an open subset of $X \times Y$, implying that $\pi$ is continuous.

Lemma 0.3. Let $X, Y$ be metric spaces as above, and let $\left(Z, d^{\prime}\right)$ be a metric space. Let $g: Z \rightarrow X \times Y$ be a mapping, and let $\pi: X \times Y \rightarrow X, \pi^{\prime}: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the projection maps (which are continuous by the previous lemma). Then, $g$ is continuous if and only if $\pi \circ g: Z \rightarrow X$ and $\pi^{\prime} \circ g: Z \rightarrow Y$ are continuous. In simpler words, $g$ is continuous if and only if its components functions are continuous.

Proof. First, suppose $g$ is continuous. By Lemma 0.2, we know that both projections $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ are continuous. So, the compositions $\pi \circ g$ and $\pi^{\prime} \circ g$ are both continuous. Conversely, suppose both compositions $\pi \circ g$ and $\pi^{\prime} \circ g$ are continuous. Suppose $Q$ is any open subset of $X \times Y$, and from basic topology we know that $Q=U \times V$, where $U \subset X$ and $V \subset Y$ are both open sets. So, we see that

$$
g^{-1}(Q)=g^{-1}(U \times V)=(\pi \circ g)^{-1}(U) \cap\left(\pi^{\prime} \circ g\right)^{-1}(V)
$$

and since both $\pi \circ g$ and $\pi^{\prime} \circ g$ are assumed to be continuous, we see that $(\pi \circ$ $g)^{-1}(U) \cap\left(\pi^{\prime} \circ g\right)^{-1}(V)$ is an open subset of $Z$ (being an intersection of two open subsets), and hence this proves that $g$ is continuous.

Lemma 0.4. Let $(X, d),(Y, \gamma)$ be metric spaces, and suppose $X$ is compact. Suppose $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous bijection. Then, the inverse map $f^{-1}: Y \rightarrow X$ is also continuous.

Proof. Consider $f^{-1}: Y \rightarrow X$, and let $U$ be a closed subset of $X$. We need to show that

$$
\left(f^{-1}\right)^{-1}(U)=f(U)
$$

is closed in $Y$ (the above equality is true because $f$ is a bijection). Since $X$ is compact and $U$ is closed in $X, U$ is also compact. Hence, $f(U)$ is compact, because $f$ is continuous. Clearly, this shows that $f(U)$ is a closed subset of $Y$, and hence $f^{-1}$ is continuous, completing the proof.

1. Let $(X, d),(Y, \gamma)$ be metric spaces. On $X \times Y$ define the metric $\rho\left((x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)=$ $\max \left\{d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right), \gamma\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ for $(x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in X \times Y$. Assume that $X$ is compact. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. The graph of $f$ is defined as the subset

$$
G_{f}=\{(x, f(x)): x \in X\}
$$

of $X \times Y$. Show that $f$ is continuous if and only if $G_{f}$ is a compact subset of $X \times Y$.

Solution. By Lemma 0.1, we know that $\rho$ is a metric on $X \times Y$.
First, suppose $f$ is continuous. Consider the mapping $h: X \rightarrow X \times Y$ given by

$$
h(x)=(x, f(x))
$$

Since $f$ is continuous, we see that the component functions of $h$ are both continuous, and by Lemma 0.3, we see that $h$ is a continuous function. Since $X$ is compact, it follows that $h(X)$ is a compact subset of $X \times Y$. Clearly, $h(X)=G_{f}$, and hence this shows that $G_{f}$ is a compact subset of $X \times Y$.

Conversely, suppose $G_{f}$ is a compact subset of $X \times Y$, and consider the function $h$ as above. $h$ is clearly a bijection, and hence $h^{-1}: G_{f} \rightarrow X$ is a bijection as well. Moreover, it is easy to see that $h^{-1}$ is just the projection $\left.\pi\right|_{G_{f}}$ from $G_{f}$ onto $X$, and by Lemma 0.2, we see that $h^{-1}$ is a continuous map. Because $G_{f}$ is compact, it follows that $\left(h^{-1}\right)^{-1}=h$ is a continuous map by Lemma 0.4. Since $f$ is a component function of $h$, and since $h$ is continuous, we conclude that $f$ is continuous by Lemma 0.3, and this completes the proof.
2. Let $(X, d)$ be a connected metric space with atleast two points. Show that $X$ is uncountable (Hint: consider sets of the form $\{y: d(x, y)<\delta\},\{y: d(x, y)>\delta\}$ for appropriate values of $\delta$.)

Solution. Fix a point $x_{0} \in X$. Define the map $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(x)=d\left(x, x_{0}\right)$ for any $x \in X$. We know that the distance function is continuous, and hence $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. Moreover we know that $X$ is connected, and since $f$ is continuous, it follows that $f(X)$ is a connected subset of $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, since $X$ contains atleast two points, there is a point $x_{1} \in X$ with $d\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)>0$, i.e $f(X)$ contains the point 0 and a positive real number. So, $f(X)$ is a connected subset of $\mathbb{R}$ with atleast two points, and we know that the only such connected subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ are intervals (open, closed or half-open). Since any interval is uncountable, we conclude that $f(X)$ is uncountable. Finally, since $f: X \rightarrow f(X)$ is a surjective map, we conclude that $X$ must be uncountable as well, completing the proof.
3. Let $(X, d)$ be a compact metric space. For a set $A \subset X$, define the diameter of $A$ by $d(A)=\sup \left\{d\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right): a_{1}, a_{2} \in A\right\}$. Let $\left\{C_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of non-empty closed sets such that $C_{n+1} \subset C_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$, and that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(C_{n}\right)=0
$$

What can you say about $C=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n}$ ?
Solution. Since $X$ is a compact space and each $C_{n}$ is closed, it follows that each $C_{n}$ is compact too. Moreover, the sequence $\left\{C_{n}\right\}$ forms a nested sequence of non-empty closed sets, it follows that this family of sets has the finite intersection property, i.e if $C_{i_{1}}, C_{i_{2}}, \ldots, C_{i_{k}}$ are any sets in this family with $i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{k}$, then observe that

$$
C_{i_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap C_{i_{k}}=C_{i_{k}} \neq \phi
$$

by hypothesis. Since $X$ is compact, this means that the intersection

$$
\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} C_{n} \neq \phi
$$

(this fact was proven in class). Next, we shall show that the intersections actually contains exactly one point. For the sake of contradiction, suppose the intersection contains two points, say $x, x^{\prime}$. So, $x, x^{\prime} \in C_{n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, let $\epsilon=d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)>0$, and by the definition of the diameter, we see that

$$
d\left(C_{n}\right) \geq \epsilon
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(C_{n}\right) \geq \epsilon
$$

contradicting the fact that the limit is zero. So, the intersection contains exactly one point, completing the proof.
4. Let $(X, d)$ and $\left(Y, d^{\prime}\right)$ be complete metric spaces. Define the metric $\rho$ on $X \times Y$ by

$$
\rho\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right)=\max \left\{d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), d^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

for $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in X \times Y$. Show that $(X \times Y, \rho)$ is a complete metric space.
Solution. By Lemma 0.1, we know that the metric $\rho$ as defined makes ( $X \times Y, \rho$ ) a valid metric space. Now, suppose $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(X \times Y, \rho)$. Write

$$
p_{n}=\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)
$$

where $x_{n} \in X$ and $y_{n} \in Y$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\epsilon>0$ be given. Since $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ is Cauchy, there is some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\rho\left(\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right),\left(x_{m}, y_{m}\right)\right)<\epsilon
$$

for all $n, m \geq N$. By the way $\rho$ is defined, this means that $d\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right)<\epsilon$ for all $n, m \geq N$, and $d^{\prime}\left(y_{n}, y_{m}\right)<\epsilon$ for all $n, m \geq N$. This means that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ are Cauchy sequences in $(X, d)$ and ( $\left.Y, d^{\prime}\right)$ respectively. Since they are complete by assumption, we conclude that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ and $y_{n} \rightarrow y$ for some $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Again, let $\epsilon>0$ be given. So, there are $N_{1}, N_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d\left(x_{n}, x\right)<\epsilon$ for all $n \geq N_{1}$ and $d^{\prime}\left(y_{n}, y\right)<\epsilon$ for all $n \geq N_{2}$. Put $N=\max \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\}$, and we get that $d\left(x_{n}, x\right)<\epsilon$ and $d^{\prime}\left(y_{n}, y\right)<\epsilon$ for all $n \geq N$. Putting this together, we see that $\rho\left(\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right),(x, y)\right)<\epsilon$ for all $n \geq N$, and hence $p_{n} \rightarrow p$, where $p=(x, y)$. So, this proves that $(X \times Y, \rho)$ is a complete metric space.
5. Show that the set of all irrational numbers in $\mathbb{R}$ is not the union of countably many closed nowhere dense sets.

Solution. For the sake of contradiction, suppose the set $\mathbb{Q}^{c}$ (the set of irrational numbers in $\mathbb{R}$ ) is the union of countably many closed nowhere dense sets, i.e we have

$$
\mathbb{Q}^{c}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{n}
$$

where $C_{n}$ is closed nowhere dense set for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, observe that

$$
\mathbb{Q}=\bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}}\{q\}
$$

where the union on the RHS of the above equation is a countable union, since $\mathbb{Q}$ is a countable set. Moreover, for any $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, the set $\mathbb{R}-\{q\}$ is open and dense, implying that $\{q\}$ is a closed nowhere dense subset of $\mathbb{R}$. So, we see that

$$
\mathbb{R}=\mathbb{Q}^{c} \cup \mathbb{Q}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{n} \cup \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}}\{q\}
$$

and observe that the RHS of the above equation is a countable union of closed nowhere dense sets. But, this clearly contradicts Baire's Theorem on $\mathbb{R}$ (and this was proven in class), since we know that $\mathbb{R}$ is complete. Hence, it follows that the set of irrational numbers is not the countable union of closed nowhere dense sets.

