

TOC PROBLEM SET-8

SIDDHANT CHAUDHARY
BMC201953

1. State if the following languages are context free. Justify your answer. (Assume $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$).

(a) $L = \{a^n \# a^{2n} \# a^{3n}\}$. This is an easy application of the pumping lemma.

(b) $L = \{w \# x \mid w \text{ is a substring of } x\}$. This is context free. It is easy to make a PDA for this.

2. Given a CFL \mathcal{L} and a regular language \mathcal{R} , let

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}} = \{w \mid w \in \mathcal{L}, \exists v \in \mathcal{R} \text{ such that } v \text{ is a subword of } w\}$$

Prove that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}}$ is context free.

Solution. Here, we can use the fact that the intersection of a CFL with a regular language is a CFL. Let \mathcal{R}' be the language of all words containing a subword in \mathcal{R} . We will show that \mathcal{R}' is a regular language as well. Since \mathcal{R} is regular, it has a regular expression, say R . Then, the expression

$$\Sigma^* R \Sigma^*$$

is clearly a regular expression for \mathcal{R}' , and hence \mathcal{R}' is a regular language. Finally, it is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}'$$

and hence we conclude that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}}$ is a regular language, and this completes the proof.

3. Given a context free grammar G , describe a procedure to check if $L(G)$ is finite.

Bonus: What can you say about the complexity of your procedure?

Solution. To be completed

4. Convert the following CFG into a CFG in Chomsky Normal Form (which accepts all words in the given grammar except ϵ), using the procedure described in the lectures.

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow TU \\ T &\rightarrow aTb \mid \epsilon \\ U &\rightarrow bTa \mid \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Solution. First, we can introduce non-terminals for the symbols a, b , giving us the following grammar.

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow TU \\ T &\rightarrow ATB \mid \epsilon \\ U &\rightarrow BTA \mid \epsilon \\ A &\rightarrow a \\ B &\rightarrow b \end{aligned}$$

Next, we introduce new non-terminals as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow TU \\ T &\rightarrow AX_1 \mid \epsilon \\ X_1 &\rightarrow TB \\ U &\rightarrow BX_2 \mid \epsilon \\ X_2 &\rightarrow TA \\ A &\rightarrow a \\ B &\rightarrow b \end{aligned}$$

Next, to remove $T \rightarrow \epsilon$, new productions will be introduced as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow TU \\ T &\rightarrow AX_1 \mid \epsilon \\ X_1 &\rightarrow TB \\ U &\rightarrow BX_2 \mid \epsilon \\ X_2 &\rightarrow TA \\ A &\rightarrow a \\ B &\rightarrow b \\ S &\rightarrow U \\ X_1 &\rightarrow B \\ X_2 &\rightarrow A \end{aligned}$$

Next, to remove $U \rightarrow \epsilon$, a new production will be added as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow TU \\ T &\rightarrow AX_1 \mid \epsilon \\ X_1 &\rightarrow TB \\ U &\rightarrow BX_2 \mid \epsilon \\ X_2 &\rightarrow TA \\ A &\rightarrow a \\ B &\rightarrow b \\ S &\rightarrow U \\ X_1 &\rightarrow B \\ X_2 &\rightarrow A \\ S &\rightarrow T \end{aligned}$$

Now, we move to unit productions. To handle $S \rightarrow U$, the following productions to S will be added.

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &\rightarrow TU \mid BX_2 \mid \epsilon \\
 T &\rightarrow AX_1 \mid \epsilon \\
 X_1 &\rightarrow TB \\
 U &\rightarrow BX_2 \mid \epsilon \\
 X_2 &\rightarrow TA \\
 A &\rightarrow a \\
 B &\rightarrow b \\
 S &\rightarrow U \\
 X_1 &\rightarrow B \\
 X_2 &\rightarrow A \\
 S &\rightarrow T
 \end{aligned}$$

To handle the productions $X_1 \rightarrow B, X_2 \rightarrow A$, the productions $X_1 \rightarrow b, X_2 \rightarrow a$ will be added to give:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &\rightarrow TU \mid BX_2 \mid \epsilon \\
 T &\rightarrow AX_1 \mid \epsilon \\
 X_1 &\rightarrow TB \mid b \\
 U &\rightarrow BX_2 \mid \epsilon \\
 X_2 &\rightarrow TA \mid a \\
 A &\rightarrow a \\
 B &\rightarrow b \\
 S &\rightarrow U \\
 X_1 &\rightarrow B \\
 X_2 &\rightarrow A \\
 S &\rightarrow T
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, to handle $S \rightarrow T$, the following will be added.

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &\rightarrow TU \mid BX_2 \mid AX_1 \mid \epsilon \\
 T &\rightarrow AX_1 \mid \epsilon \\
 X_1 &\rightarrow TB \mid b \\
 U &\rightarrow BX_2 \mid \epsilon \\
 X_2 &\rightarrow TA \mid a \\
 A &\rightarrow a \\
 B &\rightarrow b \\
 S &\rightarrow U \\
 X_1 &\rightarrow B \\
 X_2 &\rightarrow A \\
 S &\rightarrow T
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we remove all ϵ and unit productions to get the Chomsky Normal Form, which is below.

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow TU \mid BX_2 \mid AX_1 \\ T &\rightarrow AX_1 \\ X_1 &\rightarrow TB \mid b \\ U &\rightarrow BX_2 \\ X_2 &\rightarrow TA \mid a \\ A &\rightarrow a \\ B &\rightarrow b \end{aligned}$$

In the following two problems, prove/disprove whether context free languages are closed under the given operations.

5. $\text{DROP}(\mathcal{L}) = \{xz \mid xyz \in \mathcal{L}, y \in \Sigma, x, z \in \Sigma^*\}$.

Solution. It is true that CFLs are closed under the DROP operation, which I will now prove. Let $G = (N, \Sigma, P, X_1)$ be a context free grammar. Suppose X_1, \dots, X_k are the non-terminals of G , where the starting non-terminal is X_1 . We will make a new grammar G' that will accept the language $\text{DROP}(L(G))$ as follows. For every $1 \leq i \leq k$, add a new non-terminal X_i^{copy} to the new grammar G' . The new non-terminal X_i^{copy} will act like the non-terminal X_i , just that the copy will have the *potential* to drop a letter, and we formalize this below.

Let the starting non-terminal of G' be X_1^{copy} (note that X_1 was the starting non-terminal for G). Now we describe the productions in G' . Let G' have all the productions of G , and we add new productions as follows. Let

$$X_i \rightarrow \alpha$$

be a production in G where $\alpha \in (N \cup \Sigma)^*$. Let $s \in \Sigma$ be a letter in α , i.e we can write $\alpha = \alpha_s s \beta_s$, where $\alpha_s, \beta_s \in (N \cup \Sigma)^*$. Then, for every such letter s , add the production

$$X_i^{\text{copy}} \rightarrow \alpha_s \beta_s$$

in the new grammar G' (these productions help in dropping exactly one letter). Next, suppose

$$X_i \rightarrow \alpha$$

is a production in G where $\alpha \in (N \cup \Sigma)^*$. Let $X_j \in N$ be a non-terminal in α , i.e we can write $\alpha = \alpha_{X_j} X_j \beta_{X_j}$, where $\alpha_{X_j}, \beta_{X_j} \in (N \cup \Sigma)^*$. Then, for every such X_j , add the production

$$X_i^{\text{copy}} \rightarrow \alpha_{X_j} X_j^{\text{copy}} \beta_{X_j}$$

to the new grammar G' (these productions ensure that if X_i^{copy} does not drop a letter, then it has a production containing a non-terminal that can potentially drop). Observe that these productions ensure that *only* one non-terminal drops, and that *atleast* one non-terminal drops. So this shows that $L(G') = \text{DROP}(L(G))$, showing that CFLs are closed under this operation.

6. $\text{ROC}(\mathcal{L}) = \{yx \mid xy \in \mathcal{L}\}$.

Solution. To be completed